



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

10/785,388

Confirmation No.: 6576

**Applicant** 

Leatherbury et al.

Filed TC/A.U. 02/23/2004

Examiner

3733 Kim, John

For

BONE AND CARTILAGE IMPLANT DELIVERY DEVICE

Docket No.

121-02

Customer No. :

23713

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as EXPRESS MAIL in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Express Mail Receipt No: EV 758 238 739 US

## RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents Arlington, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated December 23, 2005, please enter the following response.

The Examiner has divided the claims into four groups and requires an election of one of the groups. Groups I, II, III and IV are defined below.

- I. Claims 1-10, and 24-29 drawn to an implant delivery device/kit.
- 11. Claims 11-15 drawn to a cutting device.
- III. Claims 16-20 drawn to an implant capsule loader.
- IV. Claims 21-23 drawn to an implant delivery system

Applicants elect prosecution of Group I (claims 1-10 and 24-29 drawn to an implant delivery device/kit) with traverse. The devices of Groups I, II, III and IV share functional and structural features. For example, the cutting device in Group II is

specifically designed to accommodate the delivery device of Group I. The capsule

loader of Group III is similarly specifically designed to attach to the delivery device of

Group I. The capsule loader and cutting device of Groups II and III are combined with

the delivery device of Group I to form the implant delivery system of Group IV. In view

of the relatedness of the devices of the groups, a search of all four groups of claims

does not represent an undue search burden upon the Office. Applicants submit that all

of Claims 1-29 should be considered in the same application.

In addition, the Examiner has determined there are four species of the implant

delivery device presented and requires an election of a single species for prosecution

on the merits. Applicants elect the species in group A, as depicted in figures 1-3.

Applicants believe the following claims read upon the elected species: claims 1-

5, 8, 24-29.

Conclusion

It is believed no fees are required with this Response. If this is incorrect, please

credit any overpayment or deduct any deficiency from deposit account 07-1969.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Curtis

Reg. No. 54,053

GREENLEE, WINNER AND SULLIVAN, P.C.

4875 Pearl East Circle, Suite 200

Boulder, CO 80301

Telephone (303) 499-8080

Facsimile: (303) 499-8089 Email: winner@greenwin.com

Attorney Docket No.: 121-02

bmk: January 17, 200

Page 2 of 2